

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,053	05/16/2007	Dov Avni	P-4257-US1	4577	
49443 Pearl Cohen Z	7590 12/09/201 cedek Latzer, LLP	EXAMINER			
1500 Broadway			BRUTUS, JOEL F		
12th Floor New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3777		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/09/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@pczlaw.com Arch-USPTO@pczlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/551,053	AVNI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOEL F. BRUTUS	3777		

	JOEL F. BRUTUS	3777					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing.	date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFF 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianes with 27 CER 41 27 must be a	Elad within two worth	of the date of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	. A selected the data of Clinical being						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
 To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proving		be entered and an e	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected : 81.85.90.91 and 97-102.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
/Tse Chen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3777	/Joel F Brutus/ Examiner, Art Unit 3777						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new is "based on the plurality of pixels which would require further search...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Yamanaka and Higuchi don't require the use of a subset of pixels; Fullyhum was also applied as a secondary reference which discloses pixellated CMOS image [see olumn 2 lines 63-67] as described by Applicant [see 0151, specification]; Fulghum further discloses using 11/2 to 1/3 of pixels [see column 10 lines 1-15] which constitutes subset of all the pixels. Applicant's other arguments are considered and the previous rejection has already adressed these arguments.